Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,524	SONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dung Nguyen	2871	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Dung Nguyen*. (3)_____.

(2) *Hae-Chan Park (50,114)*. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *10 March 2005*.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *86 and 93*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *US 6,567,144*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *The Examiner brought to the attention of the potential Double-Patenting rejection over the US 6,567,144. The Applicants' representative stated that he is going to review the above US Patent for clarifying the issue in order to file a Terminal Disclaimer* .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

DUNG T. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Examiner's signature, if required